

## UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE Patent and Trademark Office

Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231

		STATES OF		Washingt	on, D.C. 20231	)X:
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR		TOR	-	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.
09/202,758	12/21/98	JUBB			G	M8540/185343
_		IM62/1	1000	$\neg$		EXAMINER
JOHN S PRATT		176271	1020		GROUP,	K
KILPATRICK & STOCKTON					ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
1100 PEACHTREE STREET SUITE 2800					1755	8
ATLANTA GA 30309-4530					DATE MAILED:	10/20/00

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

**Commissioner of Patents and Trademarks** 

pplicant

Office Action Summary

Application No. 09/202,758

Applicant(s)

Examiner

Jubb et al

Karl Group

Group Art Unit 1755



Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u> </u>
☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> .	
☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 19	
A shortened statutory period for response to this action is set is longer, from the mailing date of this communication. Failur application to become abandoned. (35 U.S.C. § 133). Exten 37 CFR 1.136(a).	re to respond within the period for response will cause the
Disposition of Claims	
X Claim(s) 8-15	is/are pending in the application.
Of the above, claim(s)	is/are withdrawn from consideration
☐ Claim(s)	
Claim(s)	
	are subject to restriction or election requirement.
Application Papers	
See the attached Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Draw	ring Review, PTO-948.
☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are objection	
☐ The proposed drawing correction, filed on	is approved disapproved.
☑ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.	
$\square$ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.	
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	
X Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign priori	ty under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d).
	of the priority documents have been
☐ received.	
☐ received in Application No. (Series Code/Serial N	lumber)
🛚 received in this national stage application from the	ne International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
*Certified copies not received:	<u> </u>
Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domestic price	ority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e).
Attachment(s)	
☐ Information Disclosure Statement(s), PTO-1449, Paper	No(s)
☐ Interview Summary, PTO-413	0.40
□ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review, PTO-	948
☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application, PTO-152	
SEE OFFICE ACTION OF	N THF FOI LOWING PAGES
*** .>FF \IPP (_F &\.\!\!\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\\	OLLUTINIU I MULU

Application/Control Number: 09/202758

Art Unit: 1755

1. This application does not contain an abstract of the disclosure as required by 37

CFR 1.72(b). An abstract on a separate sheet is required.

2. The following guidelines illustrate the preferred layout and content for patent applications. These guidelines are suggested for the applicant's use.

## Arrangement of the Specification

The following order or arrangement is preferred in framing the specification and, except for the reference to "Microfiche Appendix" and the drawings, each of the lettered items should appear in upper case, without underlining or bold type, as section headings. If no text follows the section heading, the phrase "Not Applicable" should follow the section heading:

- (a) Title of the Invention.
- (b) Cross-References to Related Applications.
- © Statement Regarding Federally Sponsored Research or Development.
- (d) Reference to a "Microfiche Appendix" (see 37 CFR 1.96).
- (e) Background of the Invention.
  - 1. Field of the Invention.
  - 2. Description of the Related Art including information disclosed under 37 CFR 1.97 and 1.98.
- (f) Brief Summary of the Invention.
- (g) Brief Description of the Several Views of the Drawing(s).
- (h) Detailed Description of the Invention.
- (I) Claim or Claims (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (j) Abstract of the Disclosure (commencing on a separate sheet).
- (k) Drawings.
- (1) Sequence Listing (see 37 CFR 1.821-1.825).
- 3. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

Application/Control Number: 09/202758 Page 3

Art Unit: 1755

4. Claims 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Claims 8-12 lack an active process step to clearly define the claimed process. The step including is not considered to be an active step. See MPEP 2173.05(q).

The claims are also considered indefinite since it is not clear if the claims are requiring  $P_2O_5$  and/or  $B_2O_3$ . For example claim 8 sets forth "including a ..... $P_2O_5$  former" however claims 10,12 allow for 0%.

5. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless --

- (a) the invention was known or used by others in this country, or patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country, before the invention thereof by the applicant for a patent.
- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- (e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371© of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.
- 6. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/202758

Art Unit: 1755

7. Claims 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as being anticipated by Jensen et al (US 5,691,255; 5,614,449; WO 95/29135).

See examples 1-4 which fall within the ranges of the claims.

8. Claims 8,9,13,14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Thelohan et al (US Re. 35,557 or 5,250,488).

See Ex. 3 in Table 1.

9. Claims 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as being anticipated by Karppinen et al US 5,843,854 and WO 92/09536.

See compositions Ia and A in the Tables of column 3, 4 respectively. Furthermore composition Ib is within claims 8,9,10,12,14 where  $P_2O_5$  is not required.

- 10. Claims 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a,b or e) as being anticipated by Olds et al.

  See composition 172 which falls within the composition of the claims. Also compositions in Table 4 are within the claims 8-10,12 and 14 which do not require P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>.
- 11. Claims 8-15 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(a or e) as being anticipated by Holstein et al (US 6,060,414; 6,037,284 and WO 93/22251.

Holstein et al '414 '284 and WO see Table 1, examples 2-6.

12. Claims 8-10, 12 and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jubb et al (WO 93/15028).

See compositions of Table 10 which fall within the claims that do not require P<sub>2</sub>O<sub>5</sub>.

Application/Control Number: 09/202758

Art Unit: 1755

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Karl Group whose telephone number is (703)308-3821. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Thursday from 6:30 AM to 4:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mark Bell, can be reached on (703)308-3823. The fax phone number for this Group is (703)305-3599.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703)308-0661.

KARL GRÖUP PRIMARY EXAMINER ART UNIT 1755

Keg October 19, 2000